Respondent.	
Kellye Strickland,	PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR CONTEMPT
VS.	DECLARATION OF KYLE T. MANDERFELD IN SUPPORT OF
Petitioner,	
Madeline Sally Machla Lee,	
In the Matter of:	Court File No. 62-HR-CV-24-963
	CASE TYPE: HARASSMENT
COUNTY OF RAMSEY	SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
STATE OF MINNESOTA	DISTRICT COURT

DIGEDICE COLIDE

I, Kyle T. Manderfeld, hereby state and declare the following:

CT LTE OF LIP DIEGOTA

- 1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Barna, Guzy & Steffen, Ltd., counsel for Petitioner Madeline Lee.
- 2. A Harassment Restraining Order was issued in 62-HR-CV-24-963 on December 12, 2024. The Order explicitly prohibits Respondent Strickland from harassing Petitioner Lee. The Order remains active until December 12, 2026. See Exhibit 1.
- 3. Respondent Strickland created a webpage containing material harassing in nature towards Petitioner Lee found at https://onionmadder.com/legal/lee/history.
 - a. Harassing webpage reproduced a private and intimate drawing of Petitioner that was previously only accessible on Petitioner's private Telegram page. See Exhibit 2.
 - b. Harassing webpage frames Petitioner as a pedophile and reproduces private images found on Petitioner's private Telegram page. See Exhibits 3 and 4.
- 4. Petitioner had plans to deliver a guest lecture at a University in India. Although Petitioner did not promote this guest lecture, Respondent Strickland somehow learned of the event. Respondent sent Petitioner's University host professor an email implying the guest lecture invitation was "fabricated or altered." See Exhibit 5.
- 5. Petitioner has reported the webpage violation to law enforcement. Charges were not pursued. Moving for a finding of contempt is now the least restrictive remedy available to have Petitioner's rights and privacy enforced under the active Harassment Restraining Order.

- 6. The Minnesota Court of Appeals has previously upheld a District Court's finding of harassment and contempt when a Respondent to a HRO created a website containing personal, offensive, and exaggerated material about a Petitioner. *Miller v. Fredin*, A18-1154, 2019 WL 3293766 (Minn. Ct. App. 2019). This case is unpublished and cited as persuasive, not precedential authority. See Exhibit 6.
- 7. The Petitioner's exhibits for the Court's reference and submitted in conjunction with her Motion for Sanctions are true and correct copies of the following documentation:

Exhibit 1: Order Granting Harassment Restraining Order after Hearing

Exhibit 2: Screenshot of webpage found at onionmadder.com/legal/lee/history

Exhibit 3: Screenshot of webpage found at onionmadder.com/legal/lee/history

Exhibit 4: Screenshot of webpage found at onionmadder.com/legal/lee/history

Exhibit 5: Email sent from Respondent to Petitioner's University host

Exhibit 6: Copy of Miller v. Fredin

I declare under penalty of perjury that everything I have stated in this document is true and correct.

Dated: November 7, 2025 Signed in Anoka County, Minnesota By: /s/ Kyle T. Manderfeld

Kyle T. Manderfeld #0505482

Attorney for Petitioner
200 Coon Rapids Blvd. NW, Suite 400

Coon Rapids, MN 55433-5894

Phone: (763) 780-8500